Application No.: 10/663,995 Docket No.: 9988.059.00-US

Amendment dated

Reply to Office Action dated August 23, 2004

REMARKS

The Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for thoroughly reviewing and considering

the pending application. The Office Action dated August 23, 2004 has been received and

carefully reviewed. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended. In addition, new claims 4-6 have been

added. Claims 1-6 are currently pending. Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully

requested.

The Office Action rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by

U.S. Patent No. 5,555,640 to Ou (hereinafter "Ou"). The Applicants respectfully traverse this

rejection.

As required in Chapter 2131 of the M.P.E.P., in order to anticipate a claim under 35

U.S.C. §102, "the reference must teach every element of the claim." The Applicants respectfully

submit that Ou does not teach every element recited in claim 1. Thus, Ou cannot anticipate

claim 1. To further illustrate, claim 1 recites a structure for shielding an exposed part of core

wire, comprising, among other features, "a wall for shielding an exposed part of core wire

extending from an upper front portion and a lower front portion of the terminal block." Ou does

not disclose this feature. At best, Ou discloses a heat diffusion plate 28 located between a

heating chamber 2 and a drying chamber 3. See col. 6, lines 47-49. The heat diffusion plate 28

does not extend from an upper and a lower front portion of a terminal block, as required by claim

1, nor does it shield exposed core wire. As such, the Applicants respectfully submit that Ou fails

to disclose each and every element recited in claim 1, and request that the rejection be

withdrawn.

4

DC:50295182.1

Application No.: 10/663,995 Docket No.: 9988.059.00-US

Amendment dated

Reply to Office Action dated August 23, 2004

In addition, the Office Action rejected claims 2-3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Ou* in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,836,088 to *Barney et al.* (hereinafter "*Barney*"). The Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

As required in Chapter 2143.03 of the M.P.E.P., in order to "establish *prima facie* obviousness of the claimed invention, all the limitations must be taught or suggested by the prior art." The Applicants respectfully submit that neither *Ou* nor *Barney*, either singularly or in combination, disclose each and every element recited in claims 2 and 3. As discussed above with reference to claim 1, from which claims 2 and 3 depend, *Ou* fails to disclose each and every element recited therein. *Barney* fails to overcome the previously noted shortcomings of *Ou*, namely "a wall for shielding an exposed part of core wire extending from an upper front portion and a lower front portion of the terminal block." Therefore, neither *Ou* nor *Barney*, either singularly or in combination, disclose or suggest all the features recited in claims 2 and 3. The Applicants respectfully submit that claims 2 and 3 are patentable over *Ou* in view of *Barney* and request that the rejection be withdrawn.

The Applicants believe the application is in a condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited. If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in a condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

Application No.: 10/663,995 Docket No.: 9988.059.00-US

Amendment dated

Reply to Office Action dated August 23, 2004

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Dated: November 19, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Mark/R. Kresloff

Registration No.: 42,

M¢KENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Attorney for Applicants